
Segment Anything in 3D with NeRFs

Jiazhong Cen¹, Zanwei Zhou¹, Jiemin Fang²,
Wei Shen¹, Lingxi Xie³, Xiaopeng Zhang³, Qi Tian³

¹ MoE Key Lab of Artificial Intelligence, AI Institute
Shanghai Jiao Tong University

² School of EIC, Huazhong University of Science and Technology

³ Huawei Inc.
wei.shen@sjtu.edu.cn

Abstract

The Segment Anything Model (SAM) has demonstrated its effectiveness in segmenting any object/part in various 2D images, yet its ability for 3D has not been fully explored. The real world is composed of numerous 3D scenes and objects. Due to the scarcity of accessible 3D data and high cost of its acquisition and annotation, lifting SAM to 3D is a challenging but valuable research avenue. With this in mind, we propose a novel framework to Segment Anything in 3D, named **SA3D**. Given a neural radiance field (NeRF) model, SA3D allows users to obtain the 3D segmentation result of any target object via only **one-shot** manual prompting in a single rendered view. With input prompts, SAM cuts out the target object from the according view. The obtained 2D segmentation mask is projected onto 3D mask grids via density-guided inverse rendering. 2D masks from other views are then rendered, which are mostly uncompleted but used as cross-view self-prompts to be fed into SAM again. Complete masks can be obtained and projected onto mask grids. This procedure is executed via an iterative manner while accurate 3D masks can be finally learned. SA3D can adapt to various radiance fields effectively without any additional redesigning. The entire segmentation process can be completed in approximately two minutes without any engineering optimization. Our experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of SA3D in different scenes, highlighting the potential of SAM in 3D scene perception. The project page is at <https://jumpat.github.io/SA3D/>.

1 Introduction

The Segment Anything Model (SAM) has demonstrated its remarkable ability in revolutionizing 2D segmentation. Trained on large-scale data, SAM is capable of zero-shot segmentation across various styles of image data. However, this powerful technique is currently limited to 2D image data, leaving an intriguing question: Can we extend SAM’s segmentation ability to 3D, thereby bridging the gap between 2D and 3D perception caused by data scarcity?

In this paper, we aim to address this challenge by leveraging Neural Radiance Fields (NeRF) [8, 11, 9]. NeRF has become one of the most popular 3D representations since its emergence, with numerous improvements made to it. In NeRFs, differentiable volume rendering successfully builds connections between sparse 2D images and real 3D points.

Previous studies based on NeRF [6, 12, 4, 5] have focused on training an additional feature field with the original radiance field, which is aligned with a pre-trained 2D visual backbone such as DINO [2] and CLIP [10]. These methods use feature similarity to segment target objects in 3D space. However,




Figure 1: Given any pre-trained NeRF, SA3D takes prompts from one single rendered view as input and outputs the 3D segmentation result for the specific target.

their approaches are limited by several factors: 1) storing high-dimensional representations in 3D requires a high memory footprint; 2) artifacts in radiance fields also occur on the feature fields ; 3) training an additional feature field for every scene is inefficient.

We propose a novel framework to Segment Anything in 3D, called SA3D. Unlike prior methods [6, 12, 4, 5] that require training an additional feature field, SA3D applies to any NeRF model which takes in user-specified prompts from a single rendered view to automatically segment the desired objects from all the other views. The segmentation maps generated by SAM are projected onto 3D mask grids via density-guided inverse rendering. 2D masks from other views are then rendered, which are mostly incomplete but used as cross-view self-prompts to be fed into SAM again. The newly generated masks are then projected onto the 3D mask grids to refine them. Through this iterative process, complete 3D segmentation results can be obtained.

Compared to previous approaches, SA3D can easily adapt to any pre-trained NeRF without any changes and re-training. The whole segmentation process only takes approximately two minutes without any engineering optimization. Experimental results demonstrate that SA3D can generate fine-grained segmentation results for various kinds of 3D objects.

2 Methodology

In this section, we first give a brief review of neural radiance fields and the Segment Anything Model (SAM). Then we introduce the overall pipeline of SA3D. Finally we illustrate the design of each component in SA3D in detail.

2.1 Basics of neural radiance fields

Neural Radiance fields (NeRF) [8] define a mapping function $\mathcal{F}_\theta : (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{d}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{c}, \sigma)$, which projects a spatial location $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and a ray direction $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ to the corresponding view-dependent color $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and volume density σ . θ are learnable parameters. To render an image \mathbf{I} given its corresponding camera pose, radiance fields march a camera ray $\mathbf{r}(t) = \mathbf{x}_o + t\mathbf{d}$ through each pixel, where \mathbf{x}_o is the camera origin. The RGB color of an image is calculated by a differentiable volume rendering algorithm:

$$\mathbf{I}_\theta(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{t_n}^{t_f} \omega(t) \mathbf{c}_\theta(\mathbf{r}(t), \mathbf{d}) dt, \quad (1)$$

where t_n, t_f denote the near and far bounds of the ray and $\omega(t) = e^{-\int_{t_n}^t \sigma_\theta(\mathbf{r}(s)) ds} \sigma_\theta(\mathbf{r}(t))$. With this formulation, θ can be optimized by gradient descent with a mean square error loss:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{I} \in \mathcal{D}, \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})} \|\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{I}_\theta(\mathbf{r})\|_2^2, \quad (2)$$

in which \mathcal{D} is the set of the multi-view training images and $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})$ denotes the set of rays that composes \mathbf{I} .

2.2 Segment Anything Model (SAM)

SAM takes an image \mathbf{I} and a set of user-specific prompts \mathcal{P} as input, and outputs the corresponding segmentation mask \mathbf{M} in the form of a bitmap. For brevity, we omit the encoder-decoder architecture in SAM. Let \mathbf{S} denote the SAM model, we have $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{I},\mathcal{P}} = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{I}, \mathcal{P})$. The prompt $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ can be points, box, text and masks.




Figure 2: The overall pipeline of SA3D. With the user specifying prompts for the target object, SAM provides a segmentation mask for it. Mask inverse rendering is performed to project the 2D mask onto a 3D mask grid according to the density distribution. Then given novel views, incomplete 2D masks can be rendered. SA3D extracts reliable prompts from them and queries SAM to obtain accurate segmentation masks. This process is named as cross-view self-prompting. SA3D performs an alternating process of mask inverse rendering and cross-view self-prompting to obtain the final 3D segmentation results.

2.3 Overall pipeline

As shown in Fig. 2, SA3D contains two major techniques: 1) Mask inverse rendering (Sec. 2.4); 2) Cross-view self-prompting (Sec. 2.5). Given a rendered view of a pre-trained NeRF with a 2D segmentation mask, SA3D projects the 2D mask onto a 3D mask grid through a inverse rendering mechanism. Then, cross-view self-prompting is employed to drive SAM to generate new masks for the target object from different views. Next we will introduce them in detail.

2.4 Mask inverse rendering

Returning to Eq. 1, the color of each pixel in a rendered image is determined by a sum of weighted colors along the corresponding ray. The weight $\omega(t)$ represents the spatial position of objects within the 3D space, with higher values indicating that a point is more likely to belong to an object surface. Mask inverse rendering aims to project the mask back to the 3D space based on these weights.

Drawing inspiration from recent work on explicit structured neural radiance fields (NeRFs) [11, 9, 3], we store the 3D mask as a voxel grid. The rendering of one view of mask is formulated as:

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{t_n}^{t_f} \omega(t) \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}(t)) dt, \quad (3)$$

where $\omega(t)$ is inherited from the pre-trained NeRF with parameters θ and $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}(t))$ denotes the mask logit at the location $\mathbf{r}(t)$ in the grid. Note that we omit the trilinear interpolation in calculating $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}(t))$ for brevity. Let $\mathbf{M}_{\text{SAM}}(\mathbf{r})$ be the corresponding mask generated by SAM, intuitively, when $\mathbf{M}_{\text{SAM}}(\mathbf{r}) = 1$, the goal of the mask projection is to increase $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}(t))$ according to $\omega(t)$, i.e., $\partial \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}(t)) \propto \omega(t)$.

In practice, the inverse rendering can be solved by gradient descent easily. We define the mask projection loss as the negative Hadamard product between $\mathbf{M}_{\text{SAM}}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{proj}} = - \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})} \mathbf{M}_{\text{SAM}}(\mathbf{r}) \odot \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}). \quad (4)$$

In this projection loss, we assume that both the geometry of the pre-trained NeRF and the segmentation result of SAM are correct. However, in practice, both of them are imperfect. Hence we append a negative refinement term to the loss to optimize the 3D mask grid according to multi-view mask consistency:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{proj}} = - \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})} \mathbf{M}_{\text{SAM}}(\mathbf{r}) \odot \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}) + \lambda \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})} (1 - \mathbf{M}_{\text{SAM}}(\mathbf{r})) \odot \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (5)$$

where λ is a hyper-parameter to determine the magnitude of the negative force.

With this projection loss, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{proj}}}{\partial \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}(t))} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{proj}}}{\partial \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}(t))} \\ &= (\lambda - (1 + \lambda)\mathbf{M}_{\text{SAM}}(\mathbf{r}))\omega(t) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

2.5 Cross-view self-prompting

Mask inverse rendering enables us to project 2D masks into 3D space to locate any object. Hence how to get cross-view consistent segmentation masks for the target object in different views becomes the key problem. In practice, we find SAM can provide excellent segmentation results given proper prompts. However, manually selecting prompts for multiple views can be time-consuming and impractical. Consequently, SA3D adopts a cross-view self-prompting mechanism to find new prompts for different views automatically.

Assuming we already have a partially completed 3D mask grid from the previous $n - 1$ views, SA3D proceeds to render the n -th image view using a pre-trained NeRF and obtains the corresponding weight ω for volume rendering. This weight is then reused to render the n -th mask view from the mask grid using Eq. 3. To ensure that there is sufficient information to prompt SAM for segmenting the n -th view, the parallax between the n -th and $n - 1$ -th views must be limited to a small range.

Although the mask obtained from the previous inverse rendering process may be incomplete due to the lack of information, it still provides relatively accurate guidance for generating prompts. There are multiple techniques that can be employed to obtain appropriate prompts from the incomplete mask obtained in the previous inverse rendering process. In this study, we adopt a mask-to-point strategy to select a set of point prompts for the target object. After selecting a candidate prompt point (the point with the highest mask confidence), SA3D masks out the surrounding area and retrieves new prompts from the remaining available region. This process is iteratively repeated until a sufficient number of prompt points have been selected.

3 Experiments

Benefited from the proper design of mask inverse rendering and cross-view self-prompting, SA3D fully unleashes the potential of SAM in 3D segmentation. In this section, we first introduce the detailed settings of our experiments and then present some visualization results to demonstrate the effectiveness of SA3D.

3.1 Implementation details

The visualization results are based on several challenging scenes in forward facing [7] and 360° [1] scenes. We adopt TensorRF [3] as the NeRF to be segmented. Note that since the density-based volume rendering is common in different kinds of NeRFs, the realization of SA3D does not depend on the TensorRF. In mask inverse rendering, the balance parameter λ in Eq. 5 is set to 0.15 empirically.

3.2 Visualization results

As shown in Fig. 3, SA3D can handle the 3D segmentation tasks in both forward facing scenes and 360° scenes. More results can be found in our project page.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose SA3D, a novel framework that lifts the Segment Anything Model (SAM) to 3D with NeRFs. SA3D enables zero-shot segmentation in any pre-trained NeRF by utilizing one-shot manual prompting in a single rendered view. Based on the concept of mask inverse rendering and cross-view self-prompting, SA3D achieves fine-grained 3D segmentation without modifying the input NeRF. Our experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of SA3D, and we believe that our research brings insights for bridging the gap between 2D and 3D scene perception. This work is still in progress, while a series of improvements will be made to SA3D.

References

- [1] Jonathan T. Barron, Ben Mildenhall, Dor Verbin, Pratul P. Srinivasan, and Peter Hedman. Mip-nerf 360: Unbounded anti-aliased neural radiance fields. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022*, pages 5460–5469. IEEE, 2022.
- [2] Mathilde Caron, Hugo Touvron, Ishan Misra, Hervé Jégou, Julien Mairal, Piotr Bojanowski, and Armand Joulin. Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers. In *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada, October 10-17, 2021*, pages 9630–9640. IEEE, 2021.
- [3] Anpei Chen, Zexiang Xu, Andreas Geiger, Jingyi Yu, and Hao Su. Tensorf: Tensorial radiance fields. In Shai Avidan, Gabriel J. Brostow, Moustapha Cissé, Giovanni Maria Farinella, and Tal Hassner, editors, *Computer Vision - ECCV 2022 - 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23-27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XXXII*, volume 13692 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 333–350. Springer, 2022.
- [4] Rahul Goel, Dhawal Sirikonda, Saurabh Saini, and P. J. Narayanan. Interactive segmentation of radiance fields. *CoRR*, abs/2212.13545, 2022.
- [5] Justin Kerr, Chung Min Kim, Ken Goldberg, Angjoo Kanazawa, and Matthew Tancik. LERF: language embedded radiance fields. *CoRR*, abs/2303.09553, 2023.
- [6] Sosuke Kobayashi, Eiichi Matsumoto, and Vincent Sitzmann. Decomposing nerf for editing via feature field distillation. In S. Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, D. Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 35, pages 23311–23330. Curran Associates, Inc., 2022.
- [7] Ben Mildenhall, Pratul P. Srinivasan, Rodrigo Ortiz Cayon, Nima Khademi Kalantari, Ravi Ramamoorthi, Ren Ng, and Abhishek Kar. Local light field fusion: practical view synthesis with prescriptive sampling guidelines. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 38(4):29:1–29:14, 2019.
- [8] Ben Mildenhall, Pratul P. Srinivasan, Matthew Tancik, Jonathan T. Barron, Ravi Ramamoorthi, and Ren Ng. Nerf: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. In Andrea Vedaldi, Horst Bischof, Thomas Brox, and Jan-Michael Frahm, editors, *Computer Vision - ECCV 2020 - 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23-28, 2020, Proceedings, Part I*, volume 12346 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 405–421. Springer, 2020.
- [9] Thomas Müller, Alex Evans, Christoph Schied, and Alexander Keller. Instant neural graphics primitives with a multiresolution hash encoding. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 41(4):102:1–102:15, 2022.
- [10] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In Marina




Figure 3: Some visualization results for both forward facing scenes and 360° scenes.

Meila and Tong Zhang, editors, *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2021, 18-24 July 2021, Virtual Event*, volume 139 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.

- [11] Cheng Sun, Min Sun, and Hwann-Tzong Chen. Direct voxel grid optimization: Super-fast convergence for radiance fields reconstruction. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2022, New Orleans, LA, USA, June 18-24, 2022*, pages 5449–5459. IEEE, 2022.
- [12] Vadim Tschernezki, Iro Laina, Diane Larlus, and Andrea Vedaldi. Neural feature fusion fields: 3d distillation of self-supervised 2d image representations. In *International Conference on 3D Vision, 3DV 2022, Prague, Czech Republic, September 12-16, 2022*, pages 443–453. IEEE, 2022.