nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Peter Dayan
Last updated by author(s):	Nov 17, 2024

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

		4.0			
$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	ナコ	۱۲	IC:	t١	CS
J	LC	ı u.	I.O	L I	LJ

For	all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Confirmed
	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
\boxtimes	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	A description of all covariates tested
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
\times	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i>), indicating how they were calculated
	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection please see http://viz.internationalbrainlab.org and https://int-brain-lab.github.io/iblenv/notebooks_external/data_release_repro_ephys.html

Data analysis all our code is available at https://github.com/int-brain-lab/paper-brain-wide-map

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

all the data for this paper are available via http://viz.internationalbrainlab.org and https://int-brain-lab.github.io/iblenv/notebooks_external/data_release_repro_ephys.html

Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with <u>human participants or human data</u>. See also policy information about <u>sex, gender (identity/presentation)</u>, <u>and sexual orientation</u> and <u>race, ethnicity and racism</u>.

Reporting on sex and gender

Use the terms sex (biological attribute) and gender (shaped by social and cultural circumstances) carefully in order to avoid confusing both terms. Indicate if findings apply to only one sex or gender; describe whether sex and gender were considered in study design; whether sex and/or gender was determined based on self-reporting or assigned and methods used. Provide in the source data disaggregated sex and gender data, where this information has been collected, and if consent has been obtained for sharing of individual-level data; provide overall numbers in this Reporting Summary. Please state if this information has not been collected.

Report sex- and gender-based analyses where performed, justify reasons for lack of sex- and gender-based analysis.

Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings

Please specify the socially constructed or socially relevant categorization variable(s) used in your manuscript and explain why they were used. Please note that such variables should not be used as proxies for other socially constructed/relevant variables (for example, race or ethnicity should not be used as a proxy for socioeconomic status).

Provide clear definitions of the relevant terms used, how they were provided (by the participants/respondents, the researchers, or third parties), and the method(s) used to classify people into the different categories (e.g. self-report, census or administrative data, social media data, etc.)

Please provide details about how you controlled for confounding variables in your analyses.

Population characteristics

Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."

Recruitment

Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.

Ethics oversight

Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Trease sereet tire one belov	vende is the best he for your rescuren.	in you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.		
🔀 Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences	Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences		
For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>				

Please select the one helow that is the hest fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection

Life sciences study design

all studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.		
Sample size	the recording strategy is discussed extensively in the methods section for the paper	
Data exclusions	the Methods contains an explicit statement on data exclusions	
Replication	reproducibility for this project is discussed in: https://elifesciences.org/articles/63711 and https://www.biorxiv.org/content/biorxiv/early/2023/05/18/2022.05.09.491042.full.pdf	
Randomization	permutation strategies are discussed in detail in the Methods	
Blinding	blinding was not possible in this study	

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experime	ntal systems	Methods
n/a Involved in the study		n/a Involved in the study
Antibodies		ChIP-seq
Eukaryotic cell lines		Flow cytometry
Palaeontology and a	rchaeology	MRI-based neuroimaging
Animals and other o	rganisms	
Clinical data		
Dual use research of	concern	
Plants		
'		
Animals and othe	r research organi	sms
Policy information about <u>studies involving animals</u> ; <u>ARRIVE guidelines</u> recommended for reporting animal research, and <u>Sex and Gender in</u> <u>Research</u>		
Laboratory animals	we used C57BL/6 laboratory	mice
Wild animals	n/a	
Reporting on sex	both sexes were used; and ar	re reported

All procedures and experiments were carried out in accordance with the local laws and following approval by the relevant

institutions: the Animal Welfare Ethical Review Body of University College London; the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Princeton University, University of Washington, University of California at Berkeley and University of California at Los Angeles; the University Animal Welfare Committee of New York University; and the Portuguese Veterinary

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-collected samples

Ethics oversight

n/a

General Board.